THE LAW OF A STOCHASTIC INTEGRAL WITH RESPECT TO SUBFRACTIONAL BROWNIAN MOTIONS

JUNFENG LIU and LITAN YAN*

Department of Mathematics East China University of Science and Technology P. R. China e-mail: jordanjunfeng@163.com

Department of Mathematics Donghua University P. R. China e-mail: litanyan@dhu.edu.cn

Abstract

In this note, we consider the distribution of the random variable $\int_0^T S_s^{\alpha} dS_s^H$ and obtain the expression of its characteristic function, where S^{α} and S^H are two independent sub-fractional Brownian motions with indices $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and $H \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$, respectively.

1. Introduction

Recently, as a generalization of Brownian motion, Bojdecki et al. [5] introduced and studied a rather special class of self-similar Gaussian 2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 60H05, 60G15, 60G18.

Keywords and phrases: sub-fractional Brownian motion, stochastic integral, characteristic function.

The Project-sponsored by NSFC (10871041).

*Corresponding author

Received December 21, 2009

© 2009 Scientific Advances Publishers

processes, which preserve many properties of the fractional Brownian motion (fBm in short). This process arises from occupation time fluctuations of branching particle systems with Poisson initial condition, which is called the *sub-fractional Brownian motion*. The so-called sub-fractional Brownian motion (sub-fBm in short) with index $H \in (0, 1)$ is a mean zero Gaussian process $S^H = \{S_t^H, t \ge 0\}$ with $S_0^H = 0$, and the covariance

$$R_{H}(t, s) \equiv E\left[S_{t}^{H}S_{s}^{H}\right] = s^{2H} + t^{2H} - \frac{1}{2}\left[\left(s+t\right)^{2H} + \left|t-s\right|^{2H}\right], \quad (1.1)$$

for all $s, t \ge 0$. For H = 1/2, S^H coincides with the standard Brownian motion B. S^H is neither a semimartingale nor a Markov process unless H = 1/2, so many of the powerful techniques from stochastic analysis are not available, when dealing with S^H . The sub-fBm has properties analogous to those of fBm (self-similarity, long-range dependence, Hölder paths), and satisfies the following estimates:

$$\left[(2 - 2^{2H-1}) \wedge 1 \right] (t - s)^{2H} \leq E \left[(S_t^H - S_s^H)^2 \right] \leq \left[(2 - 2^{2H-1}) \vee 1 \right] (t - s)^{2H}.$$
(1.2)

But, its increments are not stationary, more works for sub-fBm can be found in Bojdecki et al. [6], Tudor [15, 16, 17, 18, 19], and Yan-Shen [21]. In this note, we consider the law of stochastic integral

$$\int_0^T S_t^{\alpha} dS_t^H, \tag{1.3}$$

where S_t^{α} and S_t^H are two independent sub-fBms. Our aim is to obtain the expression of its characteristic function.

We have known that it is difficult to compute the law of a stochastic integral with respect to the Wiener process, when the integrand is not deterministic. The systematic study for this problem was initiated in Lévy [10]. He showed that the characteristic function of $A_t = \int_0^t X_s dY_s - \int_0^t Y_s dX_s$ is

$$E(e^{iuA_t}) = \frac{1}{\cosh(ut)}, \quad t \ge 0, \ u \in \mathbb{R},$$

where (X_t, Y_t) is an \mathbb{R}^2 -valued Brownian motion with $(X_0, Y_0) = (0, 0)$. This is called *Lévy's stochastic area formula*. Berthuet [3] and Yor [22] (see also Protter [14]) gave other proof, and considered the law of the random variables

$$\lambda \int_0^t X_s dY_s + \rho \int_0^t Y_s dX_s, \quad t \ge 0.$$

The two-parameter case was considered in Julià-Nualart [9] and Nualart [12]. Yan-Chen [20] considered the intersection local time and calculus for the stochastic area process A_t . The stochastic area process A_t shares some properties of Brownian motion. For example, A_t satisfies a *reflection principle*. If one changes the sign of the increments of A_t after a stopping time, the process obtained thereby has the same distribution as that of A_t . One can use this fact to show, for example, that if $S_t = \sup_{0 \le s \le t} A_s$, then S_t has the same distribution as $|A_t|$, for t > 0.

As an extension, recently, Bardina-Tudor [2] considered a similar integral driven by fractional Brownian motions, and they obtained the characteristic function of the random variable $S = \int_0^1 B_s^{\alpha} dB_s^H$, where B^{α} and B^H are two independent fractional Brownian motions with Hurst indexes $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and $H \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$, respectively. As is well-known, in recent years, the long-range dependence property has become an important aspect of stochastic models in various scientific areas including hydrology, telecommunication, turbulence, image processing, and finance. The best known and most widely used process that exhibits the long-range dependence property is *fractional Brownian motion*. The fBm is a suitable generalization of the standard Brownian motion, but exhibits long-range dependence, self-similarity, and stationary increments. It is impossible to list here all the contributors in previous topics.

surveys and complete literatures could be found in Biagini et al. [4], Hu [8], Mishura [11], Nualart [13]. However, contrast to the extensive studies on fBm, there has been little systematic investigation on other self-similar Gaussian processes. The main reasons are the complexity of dependence structures and the non-availability of convenient stochastic integral representations for self-similar Gaussian processes, which do not have stationary increments. On the other hand, many authors have proposed to use more general self-similar Gaussian processes and random fields as stochastic models, and such applications have raised many interesting theoretical questions about self-similar Gaussian processes and fields in general. Thus, it seems interesting to study the law of stochastic integrals driven by more general self-similar Gaussian processes.

This note is organized as follows. In Section 2, we present some preliminaries for sub-fBm and the Wiener integral with respect to sub-fBm. In Section 3, we obtained the characteristic function of stochastic integral $\int_{0}^{T} S_{t}^{\alpha} dS_{t}^{H}$. The case of two-parameter is considered in Section 4.

2. Preliminaries on Sub-FBM

Let $\{S_t^H, t \in [0, T]\}$ be a sub-fBm with $\frac{1}{2} < H < 1$, defined on the complete probability space (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) . It is possible to construct a stochastic calculus of variations with respect to the Gaussian process S^H , which will be related to the Malliavin calculus. Some surveys and complete literatures could be found in Alòs et al. [1], Nualart [13], and Tudor [18]. We recall here the basic definitions and results of this calculus. The crucial ingredient is the canonical Hilbert space \mathcal{H}_H (is also said to be reproducing kernel Hilbert space) associated to the subfBm S^H , which is defined as the closure of the linear space \mathscr{E} generated by the indicator functions $\{1_{[0,t]}, t \in [0, T]\}$ with respect to the scalar product

$$\langle 1_{[0,t]}, 1_{[0,s]} \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_H} = \int_0^t \int_0^s \phi_H(u, v) du dv = R_H(t, s),$$

where $\phi_H(s, t) = H(2H-1)[|s-t|^{2H-2} - (s+t)^{2H-2}]$. As usual, we can define the linear application

$$\Phi: \mathscr{E} \to L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P),$$

by

$$1_{[0,t]} \mapsto \Phi(1_{[0,t]}) = \int_0^T 1_{[0,t]}(s) dS_s^H = S_t^H.$$
(2.1)

The application can be extended to a linear isometry between \mathcal{H}_H and the Gaussian space associated with S^H . We will denote the isometry by $\varphi \to S^H(\varphi)$, and

$$\langle \varphi, \psi \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_H} = E[S^H(\varphi)S^H(\psi)] = \int_0^T \int_0^T \varphi(s)\psi(t)\phi_H(s, t)dsdt,$$

for any $\varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{H}_H$. We call $S^H(\varphi) \coloneqq \int_0^T \varphi(s) dS_s^H$, the Wiener integral of

 φ with respect to S^H . Sometimes working with the space \mathcal{H}_H is not convenient; once, because this space may contain also distributions (see, for example, Tudor [16, 19] for more details) and twice, because the norm in this space is not always tractable. We will use the subspace $|\mathcal{H}_H|$ of \mathcal{H}_H , which is defined as the set of measurable functions $\varphi : [0, T] \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ on [0, T] such that

$$\int_0^T \int_0^T |\varphi(s)| |\varphi(t)| \phi_H(s, t) du dv < \infty.$$

It has been proved in Tudor [19] that $|\mathcal{H}|_H$ is a strict subspace of \mathcal{H}_H .

For $\frac{1}{2} < H < 1$, we denote by S the set of smooth functionals of the form

$$F = f(S^H(\varphi_1), \dots, S^H(\varphi_n)),$$

where $f \in C_b^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\varphi_i \in \mathcal{H}_H$. The Malliavin derivative of a functional *F* defined as above is given by

$$D^{H}F = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{i}} (S^{H}(\varphi_{1}), \dots, S^{H}(\varphi_{n}))\varphi_{i}$$

The derivative operator D^H is then a closable operator from $L^2(\Omega)$ into $L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{H}_H)$. We denote by $\mathbb{D}^{1,2}$ the closure of S with respect to the norm

$$||F||_{1,2} := \sqrt{E|F|^2 + E||DF||_{\mathcal{H}}^2}.$$

The divergence integral δ^H is the adjoint operator of D^H . That is, we say that a random variable u in $L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{H}_H)$ belongs to the domain of the divergence operator δ^H , denoted by $\text{Dom}(\delta^H)$, if

$$E|\langle D^H F, u \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_H}| \le c ||F||_{L^2(\Omega)},$$

for every $F \in S$. In this case, $\delta^H(u)$ is defined by the duality relationship

$$E[F\delta^{H}(u)] = E\langle D^{H}F, u \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_{H}}, \qquad (2.2)$$

for any $u \in \mathbb{D}^{1,2}$, and we have the following integration by parts formula:

$$F\delta^{H}(u) = \delta^{H}(Fu) + \langle D^{H}F, u \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_{H}}, \qquad (2.3)$$

for any $u \in \text{Dom}(\delta^H)$, $F \in \mathbb{D}^{1,2}$ such that $Fu \in L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{H}_H)$. It follows that

$$E\left[\delta^{H}(u)^{2}\right] = E\|u\|_{\mathcal{H}_{H}}^{2} + E\langle D^{H}u, (D^{H}u)^{*}\rangle_{\mathcal{H}_{H}\otimes\mathcal{H}_{H}},$$

where $(D^H u)^*$ is the adjoint of $D^H u$ in the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_H \otimes \mathcal{H}_H$, and

$$\|u\|_{\mathcal{H}_H}^2 = \int_0^T \int_0^T u_s u_r \phi_H(s, r) ds dr, \qquad (2.4)$$

and for $\varphi : [0, T]^2 \to \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$\left\|\varphi\right\|_{\mathcal{H}_{H}\otimes\mathcal{H}_{H}}^{2}=\int_{\left[0,\ T\right]^{4}}\varphi(t,\ s)\varphi(t',\ s')\phi_{H}(t,\ t')\phi_{H}(s,\ s')dtdsdt'ds'.$$

We also will use the notation

$$\delta^H(u) = \int_0^T u_s dS_s^H,$$

to express the Skorohod integral of a process u. It is also possible to introduce multiple integrals $I_n(f_n)$, $f_n \in \mathcal{H}_H^{\otimes n}$ with respect to S^H . For the divergence integral, we have the following convergence: if $\{u_n\}$ is a sequence of elements in $\text{Dom}(\delta^H)$ such that $u_n \to u$ in $L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{H}_H)$, and $\delta^H(u_n) \to G$ in $L^2(\Omega)$, then we have $u \in \text{Dom}(\delta^H)$ and $\delta^H(u) = G$.

Clearly, for any $f \in \mathcal{H}_H$, the Wiener integral with respect to S^H can be defined as (see Tudor [19])

$$\int_{0}^{T} f(s) dS_{s}^{H} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{j=1}^{n} f(s_{j}) \Big(S_{s_{j}}^{H} - S_{s_{j-1}}^{H} \Big),$$
(2.5)

where $\{0 = s_0, s_1, \dots, s_n = T\}$ is a partition of [0, T] such that $\max_i\{|s_{i+1} - s_i|\} \to 0$, as *n* tends to infinity. Moreover, if stochastic process *u* is independent of S^H , then the Skorohod integral $\int_0^T u(s) dS_s^H$ can be defined as (2.5), since the Malliavin derivative of *u* is zero.

Finally, we will denote by $|\mathcal{H}|_{H,S}^n$ the set of symmetric functions in $|\mathcal{H}|_H^n$. For $f \in |\mathcal{H}|_{H,S}^2$, we recall the Hilbert-Schmidt operator (see Caithamer [7]) $K_f^H : |\mathcal{H}|_H^2 \to |\mathcal{H}|_H^2$ given by

$$(K_{f}^{H}\psi)(y) = \int_{0}^{T} \int_{0}^{T} f(x, y)\psi(x')\phi_{H}(x, x')dxdx'.$$
(2.6)

One can easily check that, if f is positive and $H \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$, then the eigenvalues of operator K_f^H are positive. In fact, we can write

$$(K_f^H\psi)(y) = \int_0^T A(x', y)\psi(x')dx',$$

where $A(x', y) = \int_0^T f(x, y)\phi_H(x, x')dx$ is positive, then the operator K_f^H is a positive operator. It is noteworthiness that the operator K_f^H will be changed as

$$K_f^H\varphi(y)=\int_0^T f(x, y)\varphi(x)dx,$$

provided $H = \frac{1}{2}$.

3. The Characteristic Function of the Integral (1.3)

Throughout this section, S^H and S^{α} will denote two independent sub-fractional Brownian motions with parameters H and α , respectively. We compute the characteristic function of the random variable

$$\ell = \int_0^T S_t^\alpha dS_t^H. \tag{3.1}$$

The method used here is essentially due to Bardina-Tudor [2]. Note that, when $H \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$, the random variable ℓ defined in (3.1) is well-defined, since obviously S^{α} belongs to $L^{2}(\Omega) \times |\mathcal{H}|_{H}$ for any α . The main object of this section is to explain and prove the following theorem.

Theorem 3.1. Let $\alpha \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$, $H \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$. If the random variable ℓ is given by (3.1), then we have

$$Ee^{it\ell} = \prod_{j\ge 1} \left(1 + \frac{1}{t^2 \mu_j} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$
(3.2)

where μ_j , $j \ge 1$ are the eigenvalues of the operator $K^{\alpha}_{f^H}$ given by (2.6) and f^H is defined by (3.4).

In order to prove the theorem, we need some lemmas.

Lemma 3.1. Assume $H \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$ and $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. Denote by

$$\ell_n = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} S_{t_k}^{\alpha} \Big(S_{t_{k+1}}^H - S_{t_k}^H \Big), \tag{3.3}$$

where $\Delta_n := \{0 = t_0 < t_1 < t_2 < \cdots < t_n = T\}$ denotes a partition of [0, T], such that $|\Delta|_n = \max_{n \ge 1} |t_n - t_{n-1}|$ tends to zero as n tends to infinity. We then have

$$\ell_n \to \ell$$

in $L^2(\Omega)$ as n tends to ∞ .

Proof. By the independence of S^H and S^{α} , we can write

$$S_{t_k}^{\alpha} \Big(S_{t_{k+1}}^H - S_{t_k}^H \Big) = \int_{t_k}^{t_{k+1}} S_{t_k}^{\alpha} dS_r^H.$$

Now, it suffices to prove

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} S_{t_k}^{\alpha} \mathbb{1}_{[t_k, t_{k+1}]}(\cdot) \to S_{\cdot}^{\alpha},$$

in $L^2(\Omega) \times |\mathcal{H}|_H$ as *n* tends to infinity. Actually, in general, to prove the convergence of a sequence of stochastic integrals of divergence type, one needs the convergence of the Malliavin derivatives, but in our case, it is unnecessary due to the independence of the two sub-fBms. Noting that $S^{\alpha}_{\cdot} = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} S^{\alpha}_{\cdot} \mathbb{1}_{[t_k, t_{k+1}]}(\cdot)$ for all $n \geq 1$, by (1.2), we have

$$\begin{split} & E \left\| \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \left(S_{t_k}^{\alpha} - S_{\cdot}^{\alpha} \right) \mathbf{1}_{[t_k, t_{k+1}]} \right\|_{\mathcal{H}|_H}^2 \\ &= \left| \sum_{k, j=0}^{n-1} \int_{t_k}^{t_{k+1}} \int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}} E(S_{t_k}^{\alpha} - S_u^{\alpha}) \left(S_{t_j}^{\alpha} - S_v^{\alpha} \right) \phi_H(u, v) du dv \\ &\leq \left[(2 - 2^{2\alpha - 1}) \vee 1 \right] \sum_{k, j=0}^{n-1} \int_{t_k}^{t_{k+1}} \int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}} |t_k - u|^{\alpha} |t_j - v|^{\alpha} \phi_H(u, v) du dv \\ &= \left[(2 - 2^{2\alpha - 1}) \vee 1 \right] (2 - 2^{2H - 1}) T^{2H} |\Delta|_n^{2\alpha} \to 0, \end{split}$$

as n tends to infinity.

Lemma 3.2. (1) Let $\alpha \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$. Consider the function

$$f^{H}(x, y) = -2^{2H-1}T^{2H} + \frac{1}{2}\{(T+x)^{2H} + (T-x)^{2H} + (T+y)^{2H} + (T-y)^{2H} - (x+y)^{2H} - |x-y|^{2H}\}, \quad x, y \in [0, T], (3.4)$$

with $\frac{1}{2} < H < 1$. Then, we have $f^H \in |\mathcal{H}|^2_{\alpha,S}$.

(2) Let
$$H \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$$
. Consider the function

123

$$f^{\alpha}(x, y) = x^{2\alpha} + y^{2\alpha} - \frac{1}{2} \left[(x+y)^{2\alpha} + |x-y|^{2\alpha} \right], \quad x, y \in [0, T], \quad (3.5)$$

with $0 < \alpha < 1$. Then, we have $f^{\alpha} \in |\mathcal{H}|^2_{H,S}$.

Proof. Let us prove the point (1) and the point (2) is similar. We have to show that

$$I := \int_{[0,T]^4} f^H(x_1, y_1) f^H(x_2, y_2) \phi_{\alpha}(x_1, x_2) \phi_{\alpha}(y_1, y_2) dx_1 dx_2 dy_1 dy_2 < \infty.$$

Noting that

$$\begin{split} f^{H}(x_{i}, y_{i}) &= E(S_{T}^{H} - S_{x_{i}}^{H}) \left(S_{T}^{H} - S_{y_{i}}^{H}\right) \\ &\leq \left(E(S_{T}^{H} - S_{x_{i}}^{H})^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(E(S_{T}^{H} - S_{y_{i}}^{H})^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq (T - x_{i})^{H} (T - y_{i})^{H}, \end{split}$$

by (1.2), we see that the integral I is bounded by

$$I \leq \int_{[0,T]^4} \prod_{k=1}^2 [(T-x_k)^H (T-y_k)^H] \phi_{\alpha}(x_1, x_2) \phi_{\alpha}(y_1, y_2) dx_1 dx_2 dy_1 dy_2,$$

where

$$\begin{split} \phi_{\alpha}(x_{1}, x_{2}) &= \alpha(2\alpha - 1) \left[\left| x_{1} - x_{2} \right|^{2\alpha - 2} - (x_{1} + x_{2})^{2\alpha - 2} \right] \\ &\leq \alpha(2\alpha - 1) \left| x_{1} - x_{2} \right|^{2\alpha - 2}, \end{split}$$

for all $x_1, x_2 \ge 0$. It follows that

$$\begin{split} I &\leq (\alpha(2\alpha-1))^2 \int_{[0,T]^4} (T-x_1)^H (T-y_1)^H (T-x_2)^H (T-y_2)^H \\ &\quad \cdot |x_1 - x_2|^{2\alpha-2} |y_1 - y_2|^{2\alpha-2} dx_1 dx_2 dy_1 dy_2 \\ &= \left[\alpha(2\alpha-1) \int_{[0,T]^2} (T-x_1)^H (T-x_2)^H |x_1 - x_2|^{2\alpha-2} dx_1 dx_2 \right]^2. \end{split}$$

Make substitution $z = \frac{x - y}{T - y}$. Then, we have

$$\begin{split} \int_{[0,T]^2} (T-x)^H (T-y)^H |x-y|^{2\alpha-2} dx dy \\ &= 2 \int_0^T \int_0^x (T-x)^H (T-y)^H |x-y|^{2\alpha-2} dx dy \\ &= 2 \int_0^T \int_0^{\frac{x}{T}} (T-x)^{2H+2\alpha-1} (1-z)^{-H-2\alpha} z^{2\alpha-2} dz dx \\ &= 2 \int_0^1 (1-z)^{-H-2\alpha} z^{2\alpha-2} \bigg(\int_{Tz}^T (T-x)^{2H+2\alpha-1} dx \bigg) dz \\ &= \frac{T^{2H+2\alpha}}{H+\alpha} \int_0^1 (1-z)^H z^{2\alpha-2} dz \\ &= \frac{T^{2H+2\alpha}}{H+\alpha} \mathbf{B}(2\alpha-1, H+1) < \infty, \end{split}$$

because of $\alpha \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$, where **B** is the Beta function. This completes the proof.

Finally, we recall the following fact.

Lemma 3.3. If X, Y are two independent random vectors, then

$$E[\Psi(X, Y)|X] = \rho(X)$$

where $\rho(x) = E[\Psi(x, Y)]$ and Ψ is a measurable function.

Now, we can prove our main result.

Proof of Theorem 3.1. By Lemma 3.1, we have

$$Ee^{it\ell} = \lim_{n \to \infty} Ee^{it\ell_n},$$

where ℓ_n is given by (3.3) with $t_k = \frac{k}{n}T$, for every $k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$. Let us compute the characteristic function of the random variable ℓ_n . Define $X = (X_0, X_1, \dots, X_{n-1})$ and $Y = (Y_0, Y_1, \dots, Y_{n-1})$ by

125

$$X_k = S_{\frac{k}{n}T}^{\alpha}$$
 and $Y_k = S_{\frac{k+1}{n}T}^H - S_{\frac{k}{n}T}^H$, $k = 0, 1, 2, ..., n-1$. (3.6)

Thanks to Lemma 3.3 with $\psi(x, y) = \exp\{it\sum_{j=1}^{n} x_j y_j\}$, we obtain

$$\rho(x) = E\left(e^{it\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} x_k Y_k}\right) = e^{-\frac{1}{2}t^2 x^T A^H x},$$

and

$$E\left(e^{it\ell_n}\right) = E\rho(X) = E\left(e^{-\frac{1}{2}t^2X^TA^HX}\right) \equiv E\left(e^{-\frac{1}{2}t^2R_n}\right),$$

where $R_n = X^T A^H X$, and the matrix $A^H = (A_{k,l}^H)_{k,l=0,1,\cdots,n-1}$ is given by

$$\begin{split} A_{k,l}^{H} &= E \bigg(S_{\frac{k+1}{n}T}^{H} - S_{\frac{k}{n}T}^{H} \bigg) \bigg(S_{\frac{l+1}{n}T}^{H} - S_{\frac{l}{n}T}^{H} \bigg) \\ &= \frac{T^{2H}}{2n^{2H}} \big[-(k+l+2)^{2H} - 2|k-l|^{2H} + 2(k+l+1)^{2H} \\ &- (k+l)^{2H} + |k+1-l|^{2H} + |k-l-1|^{2H} \big]. \end{split}$$

A straightforward calculation shows that

$$\begin{split} R_n &= \sum_{k,l=0}^{n-1} A_{k,l}^H S_{\frac{k}{n}T}^{\alpha} S_{\frac{l}{n}T}^{\alpha} \\ &= \sum_{k,l=1}^{n-1} A_{k,l}^H \left(\sum_{k'=0}^{k-1} \left(S_{\frac{k'+1}{n}T}^{\alpha} - S_{\frac{k'}{n}T}^{\alpha} \right) \right) \left(\sum_{l'=0}^{l-1} \left(S_{\frac{l'+1}{n}T}^{\alpha} - S_{\frac{l'}{n}T}^{\alpha} \right) \right) \right) \\ &= \sum_{k',l'=1}^{n-2} \left(S_{\frac{k'+1}{n}T}^{\alpha} - S_{\frac{k'}{n}T}^{\alpha} \right) \left(S_{\frac{l'+1}{n}T}^{\alpha} - S_{\frac{l'}{n}T}^{\alpha} \right) \sum_{l=l'+1}^{n-1} \sum_{k=k'+1}^{n-1} A_{k,l}^H . \end{split}$$

We now claim that reduces the expression R_n . We have

$$\begin{split} &\sum_{l=l'+1}^{n-1}\sum_{k=k'+1}^{n-1}A_{k,l}^{H} \\ &= \frac{T^{2H}}{2n^{2H}}\sum_{l=l'+1}^{n-1}\sum_{k=k'+1}^{n-1}\left[-(k+l+2)^{2H}+2(k+l+1)^{2H}-(k+l)^{2H}\right. \\ &\quad -2|k-l|^{2H}+|k+1-l|^{2H}+|k-l-1|^{2H}\right] \\ &= \frac{T^{2H}}{2n^{2H}}\sum_{l=l'+1}^{n-1}\left[-(n+l+1)^{2H}+(k'+l+2)^{2H}+(n+l)^{2H}-(k'+l+1)^{2H}\right. \\ &\quad +(n-l)^{2H}-|k'+1-l|^{2H}-|n-l-1|^{2H}+|k'-l|^{2H}\right] \\ &= \frac{T^{2H}}{2n^{2H}}\left[-(2n)^{2H}+(n+l'+1)^{2H}+(n-l'-1)^{2H}+(n+k'+1)^{2H}\right. \\ &\quad -(k'+l'+2)^{2H}-|k'-l'|^{2H}-|n-k'-1|^{2H}\right] \\ &= -2^{2H-1}T^{2H}+\frac{1}{2}\left[\left(\frac{n+l'+1}{n}T\right)^{2H}+\left(\frac{n-l'-1}{n}T\right)^{2H}+\left(\frac{n+k'+1}{n}T\right)^{2H}\right. \\ &\quad +\left(\frac{n-k'-1}{n}T\right)^{2H}-\left(\frac{k'+1+l'+1}{n}T\right)^{2H}-\left(\frac{k'-l'}{n}T\right)^{2H}\right] \\ &= f^{H}\left(\frac{k'+1}{n}T,\frac{l'+1}{n}T\right), \end{split}$$

where the function f^H is given by (3.4). Combining the above calculations lead to

$$R_n = \sum_{k,l=0}^{n-1} f^H \left(\frac{k+1}{n}T, \frac{l+1}{n}T\right) \left(S_{\frac{k+1}{n}T}^{\alpha} - S_{\frac{k}{n}T}^{\alpha}\right) \left(S_{\frac{l+1}{n}T}^{\alpha} - S_{\frac{l}{n}T}^{\alpha}\right).$$

Denote by $\mu_j, j \ge 1$, the eigenvalues of the operator $K_{f^H}^{\alpha}$ and by $g_j, j \ge 1$, the corresponding eigenfunctions. Then, $g_j, j \ge 1$ are

orthonormal in $|\mathcal{H}|_{H,S}$ and the $\mu_j, j \ge 1$ are square-summable, which implies that random variables

$$B_j \coloneqq \int_0^T g_j(s) dS_s^{\alpha}, \ j \ge 1,$$

are independent standard normal random variables, and moreover, we can write

$$f^{H}(x, y) = \sum_{j \ge 1} \mu_{j} g_{j}(x) g_{j}(y), \qquad (3.7)$$

for x, $y \in [0, T]$, by using the Lemma 3.2. Thus, the sum R_n becomes

$$\begin{split} R_n &= \sum_{k,l=0}^{n-1} \left(\sum_{j\geq 1} \mu_j g_j \left(\frac{k+1}{n} T \right) g_i \left(\frac{l+1}{n} T \right) \right) \left(S_{\frac{k+1}{n}T}^{\alpha} - S_{\frac{k}{n}T}^{\alpha} \right) \left(S_{\frac{l+1}{n}T}^{\alpha} - S_{\frac{l}{n}T}^{\alpha} \right) \\ &= \sum_{j\geq 1} \mu_j \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} g_j \left(\frac{k+1}{n} T \right) \left(S_{\frac{k+1}{n}T}^{\alpha} - S_{\frac{k}{n}T}^{\alpha} \right) \right)^2. \end{split}$$

Combining this with

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} g_j \left(\frac{k+1}{n} T \right) \left(S_{\frac{k+1}{n}T}^{\alpha} - S_{\frac{k}{n}T}^{\alpha} \right) \to \int_0^T g_j(s) dS_s^{\alpha} \equiv B_j,$$

in $L^2(\Omega)$ for all $j \ge 1$, as *n* tends to infinity, we get

$$R_n \to \sum_{j \ge 1} \mu_j (B_j)^2,$$

in $L^1(\Omega)$, as *n* tends to infinity, which deduces

$$\begin{split} E(e^{it\ell}) &= \lim_{n \to \infty} Ee^{-\frac{t^2}{2}R_n} = E\left(e^{-\frac{t^2}{2}\sum_{j \ge 1}\mu_j B_j^2}\right) \\ &= \prod_{j \ge 1} E\left(e^{-\frac{t^2}{2}\mu_j B_j^2}\right) = \prod_{j \ge 1} \left(\frac{1}{1+t^2\mu_j}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \end{split}$$

since the eigenvalues are positive. This completes the proof.

We can state an alternative result that allows to consider the situation, when the parameter of the integrand α is less than $\frac{1}{2}$.

Theorem 3.2. Let $H \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$ and $\alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$. Then, the characteristic function of the random variable ℓ given by (3.1) is

$$Ee^{it\ell} = \prod_{j \ge 1} \left(1 + \frac{1}{t^2 \nu_j} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$
(3.8)

where v_j , $j \ge 1$ are the eigenvalues of the operator $K_{f^{\alpha}}^H$ given by (2.6) and f^{α} is defined by (3.5).

Proof. We follow the lines of this corollary by interchanging the roles of X and Y in (3.6). We obtain that

$$E(e^{it\ell}) = \lim_{n \to \infty} E\left(e^{-\frac{t^2}{2}R_n}\right),$$

where

$$\begin{split} R_n &\coloneqq \sum_{k,\,l=0}^{n-1} E\bigg(S_{\frac{k}{n}T}^{\alpha}S_{\frac{l}{n}T}^{\alpha}\bigg)\bigg(S_{\frac{k+1}{n}T}^H - S_{\frac{k}{n}T}^H\bigg)\bigg(S_{\frac{j+1}{n}T}^H - S_{\frac{j}{n}T}^H\bigg) \\ &= \sum_{k,\,l=0}^{n-1} f^{\alpha}\bigg(\frac{k}{n}\,T,\,\frac{l}{n}\,T\bigg)\bigg(S_{\frac{k+1}{n}T}^H - S_{\frac{k}{n}T}^H\bigg)\bigg(S_{\frac{j+1}{n}T}^H - S_{\frac{j}{n}T}^H\bigg), \end{split}$$

and f^{α} is given by (3.5). The rest of the proof is same as this of Theorem 3.1.

4. The Case of Two-parameter

In this section, we will discuss the case of sub-fractional Brownian sheet. A sub-fractional Brownian sheet $S^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}(s, t)$ with parameters $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in (0, 1)$ is a centered Gaussian process starting from zero with the covariance function given by

$$R_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}((s, t), (u, v)) = E(S^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}(s, t)S^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}(u, v))$$
$$= \left(s^{2\alpha_1} + u^{2\alpha_1} - \frac{1}{2}\left[(s+u)^{2\alpha_1} + |s-u|^{2\alpha_1}\right]\right)$$
$$\cdot \left(t^{2\alpha_2} + v^{2\alpha_2} - \frac{1}{2}\left[(t+v)^{2\alpha_2} + |t-v|^{2\alpha_2}\right]\right). (4.1)$$

Denote by $S^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}(s, t)$ and $S^{H_1, H_2}(s, t)$ two independent sub-fractional Brownian sheets. We denote $\mathcal{H}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$, the canonical Hilbert space of the Gaussian process $S^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}(s, t)$ defined by the closure of the linear vector space generated by the elementary functions $\{1_{[0, t] \times [0, s]}, s, t \in [0, T]\}$ with respect to the inner product

$$\langle 1_{[0,t] \times [0,s]}, 1_{[0,u] \times [0,v]} \rangle_{\mathcal{H}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)} = R_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}((s, t), (u, v)).$$
(4.2)

If one of α_1 and α_2 is greater than $\frac{1}{2}$, then the space $\mathcal{H}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$ may contain distributions. In this case, it is more convenient to work with the following set of functions $|\mathcal{H}|(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$, which is given as $|\mathcal{H}|(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) =$ $|\mathcal{H}|_{\alpha_1} \otimes |\mathcal{H}|_{\alpha_2}$. Therefore, Wiener integrals with respect to $S^{\alpha_1,\alpha_2}(s,t)$ can be naturally defined for integrands in $|\mathcal{H}|(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$. The following theorem is our main result in this section.

Theorem 4.1. Let H_1 , H_2 , α_1 , $\alpha_2 > \frac{1}{2}$. Then, the characteristic function of the random variable

$$U = \int_0^T \int_0^T S^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}(s, t) dS^{H_1, H_2}(s, t), \qquad (4.3)$$

is given by

$$E(e^{itU}) = \prod_{j,k\ge 1} \sqrt{\frac{1}{1+t^2\mu_{j,1}\mu_{k,2}}},$$
(4.4)

where $\mu_{k,r}$, $k \ge 1$ are the eigenvalues of the operators $K_{f^{H_r}}^{\alpha_r}$ with f^{H_r} given by (3.4), for r = 1, 2.

Proof. The proof of the theorem is same as that of Theorem 3.1. Denote by

$$A_n = \sum_{k,l=0}^{n-1} S^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}(t_k, t_l) S^{H_1, H_2}(\Delta_{k,l}), \qquad (4.5)$$

where

$$\begin{split} S^{H_1,\,H_2}(\Delta_{k,\,l}) &= S^{H_1,\,H_2}(t_{k+1},\,t_{l+1}) - S^{H_1,\,H_2}(t_k,\,t_{l+1}) \\ &\quad - S^{H_1,\,H_2}(t_{k+1},\,t_l) + S^{H_1,\,H_2}(t_k,\,t_l), \end{split}$$

with $t_k = \frac{k}{n}T$ for every k = 0, 1, ..., n-1. As in the proof of Lemma 3.1, we can prove that $A_n \to U$ as n tends to infinity in $L^2(\Omega)$ for $H_r > \frac{1}{2}$, and $\alpha_r > \frac{1}{2}$, r = 1, 2. Following the same line of reasoning as in the proof of Lemma 3.1, we have

$$E(e^{itA_n}) = E\left(e^{-\frac{1}{2}t^2B_n}\right)$$
 and $E(e^{itU}) = \lim_{n \to \infty} E\left(e^{-\frac{1}{2}t^2B_n}\right)$,

where B_n is given by

$$B_{n} = \sum_{k,l=0}^{n-1} \sum_{k',l'=0}^{n-1} f^{H_{1}}\left(\frac{k+1}{n}T, \frac{k'+1}{n}\right) f^{H_{2}}\left(\frac{l+1}{n}T, \frac{l'+1}{n}T\right) \times S^{\alpha_{1},\alpha_{2}}(\Delta_{k,l}) S^{\alpha_{1},\alpha_{2}}(\Delta_{k',l'}).$$

Let now $\mu_{k,j}$, $k \ge 1$, the eigenvalues of the operator $K_{f}^{\alpha_{r}}$, r = 1, 2, and $g_{k,r}$, $k \ge 1$, r = 1, 2, the corresponding eigenfunctions. Then, $\{g_{k,r}, k \ge 1\} \subset |\mathcal{H}|_{\alpha_{r}}$ and the sequence $\{\mu_{k,r}, k \ge 1\}$ is square-summable for r = 1, 2, and moreover, $g_{j,1} \otimes g_{k,2} \in |\mathcal{H}|(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}), j, k \ge 1$ are orthonormal, which implies that random variables

THE LAW OF A STOCHASTIC INTEGRAL ...

$$B_{j,k} := \int_0^T \int_0^T g_{j,1}(s) g_{k,2}(t) dS^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}(s, t), \quad j, k \ge 1,$$

are independent standard normal random variables. By (1) of Lemma 3.2, it follows that

$$f^{H_j}(x, y) = \sum_{k\geq 1} \mu_{k,j} g_{k,j}(x) g_{k,j}(y),$$

for j = 1, 2, and

$$B_n = \sum_{j,k\geq 1} \mu_{j,1} \mu_{k,2} \left(\sum_{l,l'=0}^{n-1} g_{j,1} \left(\frac{l+1}{n} T \right) g_{k,2} \left(\frac{l'+1}{n} T \right) S^{\alpha_1,\alpha_2}(\Delta_{l,l'}) \right)^2.$$

On the other hand, it is not difficult to check that

$$\sum_{l,l'=0}^{n-1} g_{j,1}\left(\frac{l+1}{n}T\right) g_{k,2}\left(\frac{l'+1}{n}T\right) S^{\alpha_1,\,\alpha_2}(\Delta_{l,l'}) \to \int_0^T \int_0^T g_{j,1}(s) g_{k,2}(t) dS^{\alpha_1,\,\alpha_2}(s,\,t),$$

in L^1 , for all $j, k \ge 1$, as n tends to infinity. It follows that

$$B_n \rightarrow \sum_{j,k\geq 1} \mu_{j,1} \mu_{k,2} (B_{j,k})^2,$$

in L^1 , as *n* tends to infinity, and the theorem follows.

Theorem 4.2. Assume that $H_r > \frac{1}{2}$ and $\alpha_r \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$, r = 1, 2. Then

$$E(e^{itU}) = \prod_{j,k\ge 1} \sqrt{\frac{1}{1+t^2 \lambda_{j,1} \lambda_{k,2}}},$$
(4.6)

where the random variable U is given by (4.3) and $\lambda_{k,r}$, $k \ge 1$ are the eigenvalues of the operator $K_{f^{\alpha_r}}^{H_r}$ with f^{α_r} given by (3.5), for r = 1, 2.

131

References

- E. Alòs, O. Mazet and D. Nualart, Stochastic calculus with respect to Gaussian processes, Ann. Probab. 29 (2001), 766-801.
- [2] X. Bardina and C. A. Tudor, The law of a stochastic integral with two independent fractional Brownian motions, Bol. Soc. Mat. Mex. III. Ser. 13(1) (2007), 231-242.
- [3] R. Berthuet, Loi du logharitme itéré pour cetaines intégrales stochastiques, Ann. Sci. Univ. Clérmont-Ferrand Math. 69 (1981), 9-18.
- [4] F. Biagini, Y. Hu, B. Øksendal and T. Zhang, Stochastic Calculus for fBm and Applications, Springer-Verlag, (2008).
- [5] T. Bojdecki, L. Gorostiza and A. Talarczyk, Sub-fractional Brownian motion and its relation to occupation times, Statist. Probab. Lett. 69 (2004), 405-419.
- [6] T. Bojdecki, L. Gorostiza and A. Talarczyk, Some extension of fractional Brownian motion and sub-fractional Brownian motion related to particle systems, Elect. Comm. Probab. 12 (2007), 161-172.
- [7] P. Caithamer, Decoupled double stochastic fractional integrals, Stochastics and Stochastics Reports 77 (2005), 205-210.
- [8] Y. Hu, Integral transformations and anticipative calculus for fBms, Memoirs Amer. Math. Soc. 175 (2005), 825.
- [9] O. Julià and D. Nualart, The distribution of a double stochastic integral with respect to two independent Brownian sheets, Stochastics 25 (1998), 171-182.
- [10] P. Lévy, Wiener's random functions, and other Laplacian random functions, Proc. 2nd Berkeley Symp. Math. Stat. Probab., University of California Press, Berkeley, Ca. 2 (1951).
- [11] Y. Mishura, Stochastic calculus for fBms and related processes, Lect. Notes in Math. 1929 (2008).
- [12] D. Nualart, On the distribution of a double stochastic integral, Z. Wahrsch. Verw. Gebiete 65 (1983), 49-60.
- [13] D. Nualart, Malliavin Calculus and Related Topics, 2nd edition, Springer, New York, (2006).
- [14] E. Philip Protter, Stochastic Integration and Differential Equations, 2nd edition, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2005.
- [15] C. Tudor, Some properties of the sub-fractional Brownian motion, Stochastics 79 (2007), 431-448.
- [16] C. Tudor, Inner product spaces of integrands associated to sub-fractional Brownian motion, Statist. Probab. Lett. 78 (2008), 2201-2209.
- [17] C. Tudor, Multiple sub-fractional integrals and some approximations, Appl. Anal. 87 (2008), 311-323.

- [18] C. Tudor, Some aspects of stochastic calculus for the sub-fractional Brownian motion, An. Univ. Bucur. Mat. 57(2) (2008), 199-230.
- [19] C. Tudor, On the Wiener integral with respect to a sub-fractional Brownian motion, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 351 (2009), 456-468.
- [20] L. Yan and C. Chen, Intersection local time and calculus for Lévy area process, submitted (2009).
- [21] L. Yan and G. Shen, Itô and Tanaka formula for sub-fractional Brownian motion, submitted (2009).
- [22] M. Yor, Remarques sur une formule de Paul Lévy, Lect. Notes in Math. 784 (1978), 343-346.